

An Enantioselective Total Synthesis of (+)- and (-)-Saudin. Determination of the Absolute Configuration

Robert K. Boeckman, Jr.,* Maria del Rosario Rico Ferreira, Lorna H. Mitchell, and Pengcheng Shao

Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627-0216

Received September 28, 2001

Saudin (1) was isolated from *Clutya Richardiana* in 1985¹ and has been shown to possess in vivo noninsulin dependent hypoglycemic activity.² The highly oxidatively modified labdane diterpene presents a compact caged bis-ketal skeleton with five contiguous stereogenic centers, three of which are quaternary or fully substituted. Several approaches,³ including a concise total synthesis of (\pm) -saudin,⁴ have been reported.

Herein we report the first enantioselective total synthesis of (+)and (-)-saudin and the determination of its absolute configuration. The core of our synthetic strategy is a Lewis acid mediated stereoselective Claisen rearrangement to establish the correct relative stereochemistry between the two quaternary centers (C_{13} and C_{16}) as well as the required functionalization for further elaboration (Scheme 1).

Enone (-)-6 was obtained from (*S*)- α -methylbenzyl carbamate **2** by Michael addition to ethyl vinyl ketone catalyzed by ZnCl₂ and aldol-dehydration of the resulting diketone **3**, which afforded (-)-**4** with high regioselectivity (18:1). After saponification and reesterification, (-)-**6** was isolated in 95% ee and 50% yield overall from ethyl 2-methyl acetoacetate (Scheme 2).⁵ Derivatives **3**–**6** are known in the literature only in racemic form⁶ but their analogues, obtained in a similar way using methyl vinyl ketone as the Michael aceptor, are described in optically pure form^{5a,7} and the sense of the chiral induction is well established.⁸ Furthermore, we have corroborated the absolute configuration of (-)-**4** at C₁₃ by transformation of known (+)-**7**⁹ into (-)-**4**.

O-alkylation of the thermodynamic enolate prepared from **6** with allylic triflate **8** (prepared in 3 steps from the TBDPS ether of 3-butyn-1-ol) afforded Claisen rearrangement precursor **9**.^{9b} Thermal Claisen rearrangement of **9** at 90 °C afforded a mixture of **10** and **11** (4:1) in which the undesired stereoisomer **10** predominated. This stereochemical outcome can be rationalized via a preferred chairlike transition state **12** (Figure 1) in which rearrangement occurs from the face of the half-chair cyclic enol ether ring allowing stereo-electronically favored axial bond formation at the ring stereogenic center.

To overcome this preference, we reasoned it would be necessary to induce a conformational change in the cyclohexenol ring. Reaction via a boatlike conformation would then allow stereoelectronically favored axial bond formation from the α face affording the required stereoisomer **11**. We chose to accomplish this using a bidentate Lewis acid promoter. Gratifyingly, treatment of **9** at -65 °C with excess TiCl₄, in the presence of Me₃Al as proton scavenger afforded adducts **10** and **11** (1:10), where **11** was now the major product, along with ca. 10% of **6** (Scheme 3).¹⁰ The reversal in facial selectivity can be rationalized by invoking bidentate coordination by Ti(IV) of both the oxygen of the vinyl ether and the ester enforcing the boatlike conformation **13** in which rearrangement

* Address correspondence to this author. E-mail: rkb@rkbmac.chem.rochester.edu.



Scheme 2



^{*a*} Ethyl vinyl ketone, PhMe, 50 °C; then aqueous HCl, room temperature. ^{*b*} Pyrrolidine, AcOH, PhMe, Δ (62% from 2-methyl ethylacetoacetate). ^{*c*} Aqueous KOH, MeOH, reflux. ^{*d*} K₂CO₃, (CH₃)₂SO₄, acetone, Δ (80% from **4**).



Figure 1. Transition states for the Claisen rearrangement.

Scheme 3



 a KHMDS, THF–HMPA –78 °C to room temperature; then **8**, –78 °C (65%). b TiCl₄, Me₃Al, 4 Å MS, CH₂Cl₂, –65 °C (65%).

takes place via the chairlike transition state preferentially axial on the less hindered α face (Figure 1).¹¹

Deprotection of silyl ether **11** with TBAF resulted in spontaneous formation of a mixture of hemiketals (\sim 1:1), which were directly converted to a single methyl ketal **14**. Hydroboration with 9-BBN occurred exclusively at the less hindered, monosubstituted olefin and the resulting primary alcohol **15** was efficiently converted to carboxylic acid **16**.¹²

Acid **16** was epoxidized with *m*CPBA to afford a single diastereomeric epoxy lactone **17** after acidic workup. Addition of 3-lithiofuran¹³ to δ lactone **17** exhibited complete chemoselectivity affording only keto alcohol **18** (Scheme 4), as the other potentially electrophilic sites are apparently too hindered or unreactive to be competitive. Hydroxy ketone **18** was directly acetylated affording **19** in 81% overall yield (Scheme 4).

The C_9 tertiary oxygen center was installed by Lewis acid promoted opening of epoxide **19** with inversion via nucleophilic participation of the side chain ketone carbonyl followed by ring Scheme 4



^a TBAF, AcOH, THF, room temperature, then TsOH, CH(OMe)₃, MeOH, room temperature. ^b 9-BBN, THF, reflux; then NaBO₃, H₂O, room temperature (87% from 11). ^c DMSO, (COCl)₂, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, -60 to 0 °C, then NaClO₂, NaH₂PO₄, 2-methyl-2-butene, t-BuOH/H₂O, room temperature. d mCPBA, NaHCO₃, CH₂Cl₂, room temperature, then aqueous HCl, THF, room temperature (73% from 15). e 3-Tributylstannyl furan, n-BuLi, THF, -78 °C, then Ac₂O, DMAP, py, CH₂Cl₂, room temperature (81% from 17).

Scheme 5



^a BF₃·Et₂O, CH₃CN, room temperature (90%). ^b K₂CO₃, MeOH, H₂O, 0 °C. °DMSO, (COCl)₂, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, -60 to 0 °C, then NaClO₂, NaH₂PO₄, 2-methyl-2-butene, t-BuOH/H₂O, room temperature. ^d NaOAc, (CF₃CO)₂O, CH₂Cl₂, room temperature (67% from 19). ^e LiTMP, THF, -78 °C, then HMPA, MeI, -50 °C (75% of 24/25). ^f LDA, THF, 0 °C (88%/ 70% combined of 24 from 23). 8 2 N aqueous KOH, reflux, then TMSOTf, C₂H₄Cl₂, room temperature (70% from 24).

closure to bicyclic ketal 20.14 After saponification of acetate 20, the resulting alcohol 21 was oxidized to carboxylic acid 22 (Scheme 5). Direct conversion of 21 to 22 could also be performed with catalytic TEMPO-NaOCl using NaClO₂ as co-oxidant,¹⁵ but the yield was lower (65%) owing to concomitant oxidation of the furan ring.

Introduction of the C₄ secondary methyl group was initiated by conversion of acid 22 to the crystalline enol lactone 23 via the mixed trifluoroacetic anhydride (Scheme 5).16 When LDA was employed as base, deprotonation of 23 and addition of CH₃I led to 24 and 25 in variable ratio and yield depending upon reaction conditions. Unreacted 23 along with significant amounts of dialkylated 26 were obtained even when an excess of base was used. It seemed likely that these difficulties resulted from proton exchange between 24 and 25 and the enolate derived from 23 perhaps facilitated by diisopropylamine.¹⁷

Fortunately, deprotonation of enol lactone 23 with the hindered strong base Li-TMP then addition of HMPA and CH₃I afforded monoalkylated products 24 and 25 (1:1.5) and only traces of 26. Methyl diastereomers 24 and 25 were separated by chromatography and the axial stereoisomer 25 was equilibrated to 24 by treatment with a substoichiometric amount of LDA affording 24 in a combined 70% yield.

Although attempts to assemble the bis ketal system from 22 were unsuccessful, conversion of 24 to the bis ketal system occurred smoothly by hydrolysis of 24 followed by treatment of the resulting bis carboxylic acid 27 with TMSOTf¹⁸ affording (+)-1 in 70% yield (Scheme 5). The spectroscopic properties of (+)-1 were identical with those of an authentic sample of natural (-)-saudin, except for the sign of the optical rotation: $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 14$ (c 0.460, CHCl₃), including melting point (mp 204-206 °C). Thus, the absolute

Scheme 6



configuration of natural (-)-saudin can finally be assigned as 28 as shown in Scheme 6.

Using (R)-(+)- α -methylbenzylamine as the chiral auxiliary, we then employed the above-described sequence to achieve the first enantioselective total synthesis of (-)-saudin (28). Synthetic (-)saudin (28) has $[\alpha]_D{}^{25}$ –14 (c 0.460, CHCl3) and spectroscopic properties identical with those of the natural product.¹

Acknowledgment. We thank the NIGMS of the National Institutes of Health for a research grant (GM-30345) in support of these studies. We thank Professor J. S. Mossa for an authentic sample of natural (-)-28.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, analytical data, and copies of ¹H NMR spectra for intermediates 9, 11, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 23, 24, and synthetic (+)-1 and natural (-)-28 (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

References

- Mossa, J. S.; Cassady, J. M.; Antoun, M. D.; Byrn, S. R.; McKenzie, A. T.; Kozlowski, J. F.; Main, P. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 916–918.
- (2) Mossa, J. S.; El-Denshary, E.; Hindawi, R.; Ageel, A. Int. J. Crude Drug Res. 1988, 26, 81-87.
- (a) Boeckman, Jr., R. K.; Neeb, M. J.; Gaul, M. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 803-806. (b) Labadie, G. R.; Cravero, R. M.; Gonzalez-Sierra, M. Synth. Commun. **1996**, 26, 4671–4684. (c) Winkler, J. D.; Doherty, E. M. Tetrahedron Lett. **1998**, 39, 2253–2256. (d) Labadie, G. R.; Cravero, R. M.; Gonzalez-Sierra, M. Molecules **2000**, 5, 321–322.
- (4) Winkler, J. D.; Doherty, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 7425-7426.
- (a) Nour, M.; Tan, K.; Jankowski, R.; Cave, C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2001, 12, 765-769. (b) Tan, K.; Alvarez, R.; Nour, M.; Cave, C.; Chiaroni, A.; Riche, C.; d'Angelo, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 5021-5023
- (6) Diketone 3: Clariana, J.; Galvez, N.; Marchi, C.; Moreno-Mañas, M.; Vallribera, A.; Molins, E. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 7331–7334. Ethyl ester 4: White, J. D.; Sung, W. L. J. Org. Chem. **1974**, *39*, 2323–2328. Methyl ester **6** and carboxylic acid **5**: White, J. D.; Takabe, K.; Prisbylla, M. P. I. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 5233-5244.
- (7) Frater, G.; Mueller, U.; Guenther, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4221– 4. Tomioka, K.; Seo, W.; Ando, K.; Koga, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 6637–6640, Sasai, H.; Emori, E.; Arai, T.; Shibasaki, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 27, 5641, 55641, 5664, 6776 Lett. 1996, 37, 5561-5564. Chistoffers, J.; Mann, A. Chem.: Eur. J. 2001, , 1014-1027
- (8) Dau, M. E. T. H.; Riche, C.; Dumas, F.; d'Angelo, J. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1998**, *9*, 1059–1064.
 (9) (a) Boeckman, Jr., R. K.; Nelson, S. G.; Gaul, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, *114*, 2258–60. (b) Neeb, M. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Rochester, 1995. (c) Bacigaluppo, J. A.; Colombo, M. I.; Preite, M. D.; Zinczuk, J.; Ruveda, E. A. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1996**, *7*, 1041–1057.
- (10) Narasaka, K.; Bald, E.; Mukaiyama, T. Chem. Lett. 1975, 1041-1044. (11) Rearrangement of an analogue of 9 where CO₂CH₃ was replaced with
- C_2H_5 gave the products analogous to 10 and 11 in a ratio of 2:1 (12) Oxidation of **15** to **16** was also done in one step (75% yield) by using PDC under standard conditions. We prefer the former sequence since no
- purification is necessary and use of heavy metals is avoided. For the preparation of 3-tributylstannylfuran see: Fleming, I.; Taddei, (13)M. Synthesis 1985, 898.
- (a) Zahra, J.-P.; Chauvet, F.; Cost-Maniere, I.; Martres, P.; Perfetti, P.; Waegell, B. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1997**, *134*, 1001–1024. (b) McDonald, F. E.; Wang, X.; Do, B.; Hardcastle, K. I. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 2917– (14)2919
- (15) Zhao, M.; Li, J.; Mano, E.; Song, Z.; Tschaen, D. M.; Grabowski, E. J. J.; Reider, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2564-2566.
- (16) The structure and relative stereochemistry of 23 was confirmed by singlecrystal X-ray analysis. Details will be published separately.
- 3044. (c) Gassman, P. G.; Burns, S. J. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 5574–5576.

JA017194I